



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,495	07/07/2003	Robert Ian Gresham	18054	9267
26794	7590	06/22/2004	EXAMINER	
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION 4550 NEW LINDEN HILL ROAD, SUITE 450 WILMINGTON, DE 19808			CHOE, HENRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/614,495

Applicant(s)

GRESHAM, ROBERT IAN

Examiner

Henry K Choe

Art Unit

2817

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jp58-181310 (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Jp58-181310 (Fig. 1) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising a first differential amplifier pair (8, 9) which provides a portion of an isolation channel (a signal coming out of a collector of transistor 9), a second differential amplifier pair (10, 11) which provides a portion of a transmit channel (a signal coming out of a collector of transistor 11), and a third differential amplifier pair (1, 2) which provides a control bias (a signal coming out of a collector of transistor 1 or transistor 2).

Regarding claim 2, an input terminal (13 or 14) which is coupled to the first differential amplifier pair (8, 9), and an output terminal (16) which is coupled to the second differential amplifier pair (10, 11).

Regarding claim 3, a control terminal (3 or 4) which is coupled to the third differential amplifier pair (1, 2).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the control terminal (3 or 4) which provides a bias voltage (a signal coming into the terminal 3 or 4) to the third differential amplifier pair (1, 2).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, a first transistor (2) of the third differential amplifier pair (1, 2) is disposed in the transmit channel (a signal coming out of a collector of transistor 11), and a second transistor (1) of the third differential amplifier pair (1, 2) is disposed in the isolation channel (a signal coming out of a collector of transistor 9).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the first (8 and 9) and second (10 and 11) differential amplifier pairs include two transistors with their emitters coupled.

Regarding claim 9, the limitation of an inductor is coupled to a collector of a transistor is well known in the art. Which is called a choke.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10, 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP58-181310 (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, JP58-181310 (Fig. 1) discloses all the limitations in the claim except for that the circuit is formed as an integrated circuit on a Silicon Germanium substrate. It is well known to integrate semiconductor device in order to form of small sized Integrated Circuit (IC). Therefore, it would have been obvious to have integrated the circuit of JP58-181310 (Fig. 1) because such a modification would have advantageously produced or small-sized integrated circuit amplifier with reference to the particular Silicon Germanium materials, such materials are well known and would have been considered mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent dielectric materials.

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claims 10, 11, 14 and 15, JP58-181310 (Fig. 1) discloses all the limitations in the claims except for that the pulse width of the control bias is less than 500 picoseconds and between 200-300 picoseconds. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the specific values of the components, since they are based on the routine experimentation to obtain the optimum operating parameters.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent numbers (6,073,002; 6,393,260) are the amplifiers with the three differential pairs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.



**HENRY CHOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

#861